PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

FENSTER, Paul

FENSTER & COMPANY_INTELLECTUAL_PROPERTY

LTD.

RECEIVED

P. O. BOX 10256 49002 PETACH TIKVA ISRAĔL

20 MAY 2007

G.E. EHRLICH (1995) L

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
26 April 2007 (26.04.2007)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

110/04372

34478

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000812

International filing date (day/month/year) 31 July 2005 (31.07.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year) 30 July 2004 (30.07.2004)

Applicant

DISC-O-TECH MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 110/04372	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/IL2005/000812	International filing date (day/month/year) 31 July 2005 (31.07.2005)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 30 July 2004 (30.07.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant DISC-O-TECH MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 $bis.1(a)$.					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	. I Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inver	ntion			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).					
			Date of issuance of this report 17 April 2007 (17.04.2007)			
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		lombettes	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou			
<u></u>	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int					

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the international searching auth-	ORITY				
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002			PCT ITTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	28 FEB 2007		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION		
110/04372			See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IL05/00812	31 July 2005 (31.07.200				
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classificat	ton and IPC			
IPC: A61F 2/44(2006.01) USPC: 623/17.11					
Applicant			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DISC-O-TECH MEDICAL TECHNOLO	GIES, LTD.				
This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following item	ıs:			
Box No. I Basis of the	opinion				
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establi	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of uni	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain doc					
Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international ap	plication			
Box No. VIII Certain obs					
2. FURTHER ACTION					
International Preliminary Examinin	g Authority ("IPEA") ex the IPEA and the chosen	ccept that this does IPEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an e International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) red.		
IPEA a written reply together, where of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the	e appropriate, with amend expiration of 22 months fr	ments, before the exp	EA, the applicant is invited to submit to the paration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.		
For further options, see Form PCT/IS	SA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		2.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ U	S Date of comple	tion of this opinion	Authorized officer /		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	24 January 200	7 (24.01.2007)	Paul B. Previlic		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	P.O. Box 1450				
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201			Telephone No. (571)		
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 20	05)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>√</i>		

International application No.

PCT/IL05/00812

Box No. I Basis of this opinion		
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
the international application in the language in which it was filed		
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).		
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
a. type of material		
a sequence listing		
table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material		
on paper		
in electronic form		
c. time of filing/furnishing		
contained in the international application as filed.		
filed together with the international application in electronic form.		
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4. Additional comments:		

International application No.
PCT/IL-05/00812

International application No. PCT/IL05/00812

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES

__NO

Claims Please See Continuation Sheet

2. Citations and explanations:

Inventive step (IS)

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims 1-3, 4, 6-8, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 30, 34, 35, 38, 39, and 44-48 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by BAO et al (US 5,534,028) where the material is fully polymerized prior to insertion and inserting it into the body causes it to soften as it absorbs water; see Table I and column 11, lines 14-40.

Claim 63 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by PELLEGRINO et al (US 2003/0181963); see paragraph [0148].

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, 14, 18, 27, 30, 31, 33-35, 37-39, 44-47, and 49 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by ROSS et al (US 6,264,659); see the abstract, column 2, line 61 et seq. and column 6, line 35 et seq.

Claim 36 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over ROSS et al (US 6,264,659) in view of FRONING (US 3,875,595). ROSS teaches inserting the material into the body but not the step of constraining it as claimed. However, FRONING teaches that it was known to the art to insert a prosthetic with a constraining member, such as a inflatable member, in order to constrain the inserted material; see the figures and the corresponding description thereof. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to insert a constraining device into the vertebral area during the ROSS procedure for the same reasons that FRONING does the same or to control the shape and size of the inserted material.

Claims 10-13, 15-17, 19-21, 25, 26, 29, 32, and 51 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a Shore A hardness in the range claimed, the viscosity in the claimed range, the introduction pressure range, an extrusion aperture of 3 mm or 1.5 mm, introduction using a motor, introduction using a hydraulic source, cutting the material away from the delivery system, or accessing the space with a guidewire.

Claims 1-39, 44-49, 51, and 63 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus they possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.



International application No. PCT/IL05/00812

_	Supplemental Box
	In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
Г	
	V.1. Reasoned Statements:
	The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 10-13, 15-17, 19-21, 25, 26, 29, 32, 36, and 51
	The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1-9, 14, 18, 22-24, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33-35, 37-39, 44-49 and 63
	The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 10-13, 15-17, 19-21, 25, 26, 29, 32, and 51
	The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 1-9, 14, 18, 22-24, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33-39, 44-49, and 63 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-39, 44-49, 51, and 63
	The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE